

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/823,495	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SURAZSKI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Jason E. Mattis	<b>Art Unit</b> 2616	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(1) Jason E. Mattis.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 6/29/06

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

9, 18, 26, 32, 36, 45, and 53

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Discussed amendmnets to the claims to clairify the use of the claimed "warning signal".*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)